

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/782,416	02/18/2004	Heinz Hettmann	A 91 936/Ir 6742		
75	90 02/01/2005	EXAMINER			
ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI		
Suite B 707 Highway 66	6 East	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Tijeras, NM 87059			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ν			
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
			116	HETTMANN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Minh-Cha	au T. Pham	1724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. s0) days, a reply within the stratutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDON!	imely filed ys will be considered timely. in the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a) <u></u>		2b) This action is	non-final.	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office actions	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stag	e			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/18/04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	eate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/782,416

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Brown et al (5,484,466), Mullins et al (5,556,40) and Wake et al (6,383,244 B1).

Brown et al disclose an air filter apparatus having 2 filter elements (30 and 31) and a sealing member (40, 41, Fig. 5, col. 3, line 50 through col. 4, line 63, col. 6, lines 12-27) formed on the main filter and the other filter is fixedly connected to the sealing member. Mullins et al disclose an air filter apparatus having 2 filter elements (30 and 38) and a sealing member (36) made of molded polyurethane foam rubber material (col. 6, lines 4-7, col. 7, lines 53-57) formed on the main filter and the other filter is fixedly connected to the sealing member (col. 9, lines 31-55). Wake et al disclose an air filter

Art Unit: 1724

apparatus having 2 filter elements (24 and 48) and a sealing member made of soft compressible urethane material (col. 1, lines 45-49) formed on the main filter and the other filter is fixedly connected to the sealing member (col. 2, line 54 through col. 3, line 5). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a sealing member for the 2 filters as taught by any one of Brown et al, Mullins et al and Wake et al since the sealing member provides tight sealing preventing any by-pass flow which might exit without passing through the filters.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Ager et al (5,415,677) disclose an air filter with radial and axial sealing.
- Dungs et al (5,730,769) disclose an air filter with scaling bead.
- Miller et al (6,099,606) disclose an air filtration.
- Greif et al (6,572,667 B1) disclose a filter system.
- Carle et al (6,602,308 B1) disclose an air filter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (371) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am -5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,416

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724 January 27, 2005